

Interview of IDA MAGLI on her last book “La Dittatura Europea” (the European Dictatorship)

1) You have always been a strong opponent to the project of European Union. And you just published a new book with a very direct and explicit title: “La Dittatura Europea” (The European Dictatorship). Why did you choose this historical moment to print this new book?

Answer:

My first book on Europe, published in 1997 by Rizzoli, also had a very explicit title: “Contro l’Europa” (Against Europe): it explained the reasons why the project of the European unification was wrong and was doomed to fail. Since I am a professor and a scientist - not a fortuneteller - I should rejoice, because the analysis and the previsions that I made at that time were correct. Instead I am full of anger and grief, because of the arrogance of those who are in power: at all costs they wanted to build an Empire for themselves, ruining their subjects. I wrote this second book because I hope that we are still in time to warn the Italians - and all the other peoples of Europe - to rescue themselves before the earthquake comes. And I also wanted to leave to the historians of tomorrow the documents with the names of those who are responsible of this catastrophe.

2) In your opinion, which are the scopes and the undeclared goals of the European Union?

Answer:

The goal that they already reached is the transfer of the governing power from the politicians to the bankers. Nobody talks about the bankers, but democracy has been cancelled since they *were not elected by the people*. The second goal that they are about to reach is the *destruction* of Europe as a political, economical and cultural entity. The most important negative consequences are: migratory invasion, loss of value of the coinage due to the introduction of the Euro, coercion of the products on the market, cancellation of the european civilization plus the importation of the worst american habits: politically correctness and absence of form. These were not the collateral damages of the unification process, these were the final goals which were deliberately pursued.

Once the european civilization will be eliminated and the banker’s government will be extended to the greater part of the Planet under Anglo-American rule (do not forget that the Commonwealth is included) in the near future the undeclared goal would seem a New World Order. This would mean one language, one currency, one religion, one culture only. But I believe that Globalization would cancel all the creative capabilities of the human mind, and therefore this cannot be the final goal of intelligent people such as the Freemasonry bankers that are holding the World’s destiny in their hands. I think that this is just the instrument for achieving a Project but I do not know what it is.

3) How important is the European Parliament, whose members are ‘directly elected by the citizens’, as we can read in the Official page of the European Parliament website?

Answer:

He European Parliament has no importance at all. Its function is simply to enact the fiction of the existence of an European State, just to deceive people. And, of course, it served to multiply very rich job positions for the leaders of the national Parties, so that the Parties were able to put their men in those command posts and to siphon an enormous amount of public money (the European Union is financed with a part of the V.A.T. of all member States). They can spend this money as they like. This is the reason why there are so many oddities in the european regulations: these were simple men who are losing their head because they live in a world that has no language (27 different languages simply mean that they *cannot communicate*); they have an immense wealth and power, but do not know how to use it.

4) Who is commanding in the European Union? Who is making the decisions that so dramatically affect us?

Answer:

The manipulators of Finance and the Bankers. About twenty people in all. And we must take into account that they all belong to Freemasonry, or to other very powerful Clubs such as the Bilderberg, the Trilateral Commission and the Aspen Institute. These Clubs are supporting the career of the few politicians that are in charge of averting the public attention from the bankers of to exchange posts with them (such as mr. Ciampi, former Governor of the Bank of Italy, who became President of the Italian republic). All the fuss that politicians are making around the weirdest things only serves to draw away the attention of the public opinion from the real problems, and to convince the people that if they do not obey the Bankers they will drawn. This is the main reason why the Left is silent: it is impossible to pretend that they are standing alongside with workmen, while in fact they are supporting the interest of the Bankers.

5) The Lisbon Treaty. Can you explain briefly which is the final objective of this controversial and in a way mysterious treaty? What is it going to change? And why it was so important to ratify it? Is it really useful for the functioning of the enlarged European Union? Is it true that the treaty is reintroducing Death penalty within the European union?

Answer:

The final goal was partly missed because they wanted to ‘constitutionalize’ the treaties that had been signed before, in order to make them sacred and untouchable. But popular Referendums rejected the Constitution, and this confirmed that the European unification was realized *against the will* of the Peoples. The sense of ‘mystery’ that surrounds it is due to the ethic and spiritual implications that were disguised under a cryptic language that ordinary people cannot understand; but it can be interpreted at their will by the ‘priests’ who are involved.

Just one example for all, even if it is such an important matter that it should be discussed out loud everywhere. In the Lisbon Treaty was included the Human Rights

Chart as a mandatory instrument of power, starting from the so called “Right to Life”. They made different distinctions, which finally led to the possibility to have Death penalty “for acts made during wartime of in imminent danger of war”. At first, this phrase belonged to the European Council and not to the European Union, but then it was introduced surreptitiously into the Lisbon treaty. The articles were intertwined one to the other in such a way that I cannot say with certainty if really the Lisbon Treaty is reintroducing Death penalty: probably it does or it is better to say that they want to have it without declaring openly that it is so. It depends on how the jurists will want to apply this rule. To say that the Treaty will assure the good functioning of so many nations and peoples together, obviously is a joke. Today the situation is such that even Germany is tempted to say “*every man for himself!*”.

6) While all this is happening, Media are silent. Why?

Answer:

This is the most difficult problem to solve, even if it is the main cause of the situation of impotency in which we are living. This is what is most scary. Cowardliness, ignorance, bribery, stupidity: all these elements are involved. But it seems impossible to me that no journalist, no opinion leader remained immune, notwithstanding the different ways of communicating that are existing today. Unfortunately the fact that the printed press is silent makes the voices on the web weaker, even if they are extremely active. I can quote as an example the fact that everybody knows me at the Corriere della Sera: my book is published by the same editor and in a chapter I talk about the flag of the European Union which is near the name of the newspaper. But nobody on the Corriere talked about my book or wrote a review, not even to criticize it.

7) How do you live and work since you decided to assume such a strong public position against a project that involves interest and power that are extremely powerful?

Answer:

I live and work as I always did. Yes, I made a great effort in writing this book and signing it with my name, something I never did before; I was supported by the trust of Ottavio di Brizzi to whom goes the merit of this publication. Through my own passion I wanted to make the Italians understand and feel how urgent and dramatic is the present situation. I hope that someone will join me, breaking the silence and disseminating in the widest possible way the considerations I wrote. Among those who have the possibility and the duty to do it, if there were just 10 or 20 people as me, screaming out loud how things really are, the famous “strong powers” would become much weaker. Their strength comes from the fact that everybody is helping them to keep secret even the most basic data, especially journalists. One example can be enough: how many Italians do *really* know that the “Bank of Italy” is not the Bank “of” Italy, because it belongs to extremely rich *private* people, including mr. Draghi (current Governor of the Bank) and not to the Italian State? And that the same goes for the Central European Bank, that is dominating our lives right now?

8) **Are we in a cul-de-sac or are we still in time to escape? What do you think that will happen?**

Answer:

Yes, I believe that we can escape. We must immediately go back to our coinage sovereignty leaving the euro, as was proposed by the former minister and economist Paolo Savona. If we do not want to quit the European Union, at least we must suspend the Schengen Treaty, restore the national borders and the controls over people and goods. The problem is that in Italy no politician ever expressed a negative opinion against the European Union; and the will of the people on this matter was never taken into account. Finally, now that the euro is on the verge of collapse everybody understood what Lucio Caracciolo meant when he wrote "Europe is a bluff". Maybe some Italian Party could start doing something. For instance, the party of Beppe Grillo or the party of Antonio Di Pietro: one of its members is Lannutti, the author of "La repubblica dei banchieri" (the Banker's republic). And maybe also the League and the party of Cristiano Magdi Allam who got in touch with me because of this book. If we start to talk about this openly, and they understood that they would have a great consensus of opinion, this would help them to come out. Yes, there would be some costs, but it is nothing compared to the loop that is choking us, and to the crack that is surely expecting us tomorrow. This crack will surely come, because the European Union was created on purpose in order to destroy Europe.

I do not know how this will end, because we are governed by people who deceived and betrayed us - bankers and politicians together - from the very beginning of the Italian Republic when they wrote article n. 11 of the Constitution to take away from us Independence. It was a banker, Luigi Einaudi (the Governor of the Bank of Italy at that time) who dictated it. This stands for all and until now I never heard a politician proposing to abolish article n. 11.

Ida Magli, January 14, 2011

Traduzione di Marina Mascetti

**(Interview by Alessio Cristianini can be found on the website:
<http://www.adversus.it/societa/ida-magli-unione-europea.html>)**